XXVI International Congress of the Italian Association of Applied Linguistics (AItLA)

12-13 February 2026

Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, Forlì Campus

Conference topics

Communicative Interaction: Relationships, Practices, Perspectives

Communicative interaction is a longstanding and constant research topic in the humanities and social sciences. Recent developments in its conceptualization, in the increasingly rich empirical analyses that underpin it, and in the variety of methods adopted, reflect on the one hand a growing interest in the dynamics of different types of interaction – written and oral, verbal and non-verbal, face-to-face and online – as well as a variety of social and institutional settings, and on the other hand the changes brought about by digital innovations.

This year's AItLA meeting follows the trail of the XVI International Congress, held ten years ago at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, and the volume that followed (Andorno & Grassi, 2016), while also being in line with some of the most recent AItLA meetings and volumes (Caruso & Maffia, 2023; Cirillo & Nodari, 2024) which focused, respectively, on unbalanced and multimodal communication. The XXVI AItLA International Congress, to be held at the University of Bologna, in Forlì, will once again place interaction at the centre of the discussion, with a twofold objective: to keep alive the interest for the already established topics, following their evolution, and to discuss new emerging lines of research. The focus of the Congress is on communicative interaction in relation to 'objects', processes, phenomena (linguistic systems, language mediation and learning, multilingualism, artificial intelligence, etc.), as well as current qualitative and quantitative methods, with the aim of identifying, describing and interpreting interactional features. We welcome proposals that contribute to the current state of research and/or outline future prospects in this field of study; we summarize below the more specific topics to be addressed, in papers that can be theoretical, methodological or applied in nature.

Interaction and the linguistic system

While interaction has long played a central role in some disciplines of linguistics broadly conceived (e.g., conversation analysis, language mediation, or L2 learning; cf. Fele, 2007; Zorzi, 2007; Andorno & Grassi, 2016), in recent years it has also gained a central position in research on language structure. This trend was established through the publication of the first textbook on "Interactional Linguistics" (Couper-Kuhlen & Selting, 2018), the launch of the journal with the same title (edited by Mushin & Pekarek Doehler) and the publication of several important edited volumes (in particular, Selting & Barth-Weingarten, 2024). Interaction has thus become a crosscutting topic in its own right, encompassing the description and analysis of all levels of linguistic structure (De Stefani & Veronesi, 2020; Calaresu, 2022). We therefore invite papers that adopt an interaction-oriented approach to the study of languages, i.e. that explore the domains of phonology/morphology/syntax/semantics from the perspective of interaction.

Interaction and learning

"The fundamental niche for human communication", writes Levinson (2019, 112), "is social interaction in a face-to-face context: this is the context in which language is learned, the bulk of usage occurs, and almost certainly the context in which it has evolved". If this postulate assumes learning to be intrinsically linked to interaction, extensive empirical research highlights how forms of interaction have deep implications for learning and how the quality of these forms influences the quality of learning (see e.g., Nystrand, 1997; Walsh, 2011; Fasulo & Pontecorvo, 2022 [1999]; Gardner, 2019; Caronia, 2021; Depperman & Pekarek-Doehler, 2021). Learning through interaction and learning interaction, in one's own or another language, in guided educational or incidental contexts, are the leitmotifs that can guide papers on the observation and analysis of interactional processes. From this perspective, linguistic interaction can also be observed with reference to (multi-)media content (Busch & Pfisterer, 2011), such as self-study tools and/or teaching materials (Barrot, 2021), or with respect to the specificities of interaction in new media and gaming activities (Hofstetter & Robles, 2023).

Interaction and multilingualism

A growing number of studies look at pluri/multilingual interaction between people who do not share the same (spoken or signed) language and culture and interact through a wide variety of conceptual tools and practices, including intercomprehension (see, e.g., Bonvino & Garbarino, 2022), English as a lingua franca (Jenkins, 2020), translanguaging (García & Li, 2014) and translingualism (Canagarajah, 2013). In this area of study, the characteristics of written and spoken interaction can be explored from a dual perspective: on the one hand, by analyzing plurilingual interactions with reference to the specificities of the context (educational, institutional, professional, family) and/or to plurilingualism as an identity trait of individual speakers; on the other hand, by formulating proposals to promote plurilingualism in education. As for the analysis of multilingual interactions, studies on linguistic and cultural mediation have highlighted how the presence of an interpreter or mediator changes the dynamics of the interaction, which becomes a pas de trois (Wadensjö 1998); whoever mediates not only participates in the co-construction of meaning but also takes on (or is assigned) multiple roles that influence the course of the interaction itself (Angelelli, 2004; Baraldi and Gavioli, 2012; Llewellyn-Jones and Lee, 2014). We therefore also welcome papers that shed light on new relevant aspects of mediated interaction, possibly in less-studied contexts.

Interaction and artificial intelligence

Artificial intelligence has rapidly spread into various areas of human life, making human-machine interactions increasingly frequent. In educational contexts, for instance, chatbots are increasingly being used as second language tutors or conversational partners (Bibauw et al., 2022; Chiu et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2022). AI has also attracted some attention in relation to the possible impact it might have on language usage and change (Rudnicka, 2023). Against the backdrop of different types of spoken and written interaction, it is therefore also relevant to consider interaction with AI, both for the discursive and linguistic features inherent to the production of automated systems (e.g., syntax, vocabulary, pragmatics of chatbot production) and the production of the human interlocutor (see, e.g., Mindner et al., 2023), as well as for the more typically interactional features (e.g., conversational dominance, negotiation of meaning, feedback). Papers are invited that explore applications of AI-based systems (such as, but not limited to, ChatGPT) in the field of applied linguistics and linguistics more generally.

Interaction and multimodality

Interaction studies have long highlighted that paralinguistic and kinetic elements are closely linked to the verbal ones and contribute to the co-construction of meaning (Poyatos, 1997). A message can sometimes be expressed exclusively using non-verbal signs (a glance, a gesture) and the study

of non-verbal communication is nowadays facilitated and made even more systematic by computer tools and multimodal conversational analysis (Mondada, 2014, 2016, 2019; Eilittä et al., 2023). We therefore welcome detailed analyses of multimodality, based on video data that can shed light on the co- and non-verbal aspects of interaction, continuing to feed into the line of studies started with the XXIII AltLA Congress (Cirillo & Nodari 2024).

Interaction and silence

Studies on communicative interaction in various forms described above have focused on what interlocutors say and on 'how' talking comes about in different contexts. But we also communicate with silence (Goodwin, 2004; Mushin & Gardner, 2009), understood not as the mere absence of sound, but as the perceivable absence of speech where it could be uttered (Bilmes, 1994). Papers on the position, function and duration of different types of observable silences in interaction (see Sacks et al., 1974 and Hoey, 2020 on the distinction between pauses, gaps and lapses) and on the professional and ethical implications of non-speech in mono- and multilingual interactions are therefore invited.

Interaction and pathology

Numerous language disorders can impair speakers' verbal abilities and thus undermine the quality of their communicative interactions in situations of mature language competence (e.g., in the case of aphasia, dementia, or psychopathology), or prevent their full and effective development during ontogeny (e.g., in Specific Language Impairment or in Autism Spectrum Disorders) (APA, 2022; Adornetti, 2018). Proposals exploring interactional competence in clinical populations of developmental, adult and involutional (pre-senile and senile) ages are therefore invited.

References

Adornetti, I. (2018). Patologie del linguaggio e della comunicazione. Carocci

Andorno, C., & Grassi, R. (Cur.) (2016). *Le dinamiche dell'interazione. Prospettive di analisi e contesti applicativi*. Associazione Italiana di Linguistica Applicata.

Angelelli, C. (2004). *Revisiting the interpreter's role*. Benjamins

American Psychiatric Association (2022). *DSM-5-TR. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition. Text Revision.* American Psychiatric Association. (Traduzione italiana: Nicolò, G. & Pompili E., cur., 2023, DSM-5-TR. *Manuale diagnostico e statistico dei disturbi mentali. Text revision.* Raffaello Cortina Editore)

Baraldi, C. & Gavioli, L. (Cur.) (2012). Coordinating Participation in Dialogue Interpreting. Benjamins.

Barrot, J. S. (2021). Social media as a language learning environment: a systematic review of the literature (2008-2019). *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *35*(9), 2534-2562.

Bibauw, S., Van Den Noortgate, W., François, T., & Desmet, P. (2022). Dialogue systems for language learning: A meta-analysis. *Language Learning & Technology*, 26(1), 1-24.

Bilmes, J. (1994). Constituting silence: Life in the world of total meaning. *Semiotica*, 98, 73-87.

Bonvino, E., & Garbarino, S. (2024). Intercomprensione. Caissa Italia.

Busch, B., & Pfisterer, P. (2011). Interaction and media. In R. Wodak, B. Johnstone & P. Kerswill (Cur.), *Sage Handbook of Sociolinguistics* (pp. 420-434). Sage Publications Ltd.

Calaresu, E. (2022). La dialogicità nei testi scritti. Tracce e segnali dell'interazione tra autore e lettore. Pacini Editore.

Canagarajah, S. (2013). Translingual Practice. Global Englishes and Cosmopolitan Relations. Routledge.

Caronia, L. (ed.) (2021). Language and Social Interaction at Home and School. John Benjamins. Caruso, V., & Maffia, M. (Cur.) (2023). Vecchie e nuove forme di comunicazione diseguale: Canali, strutture e modelli. Associazione Italiana di Linguistica Applicata.

- Chiu, T. K., Xia, Q., Zhou X., Chai C.S. & Cheng M. (2023). Systematic literature review on opportunities, challenges, and future research recommendations of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100118.
- Cirillo, L., & Nodari, R. (Cur.) (2024). *Contesti, pratiche e risorse della comunicazione multimodale*. Associazione Italiana di Linguistica Applicata.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. & Selting, M. (2018). *Interactional Linguistics: Studying Language in Social Interaction*. Cambridge University Press.
- De Stefani, E., & Veronesi, D. (2020) Dagli studi sul parlato alla linguistica interazionale. Ricerche sull'uso
- ordinario della lingua italiana. Studi Italiani di Linguistica Teorica e Applicata, 49(3), 464-490.
- Deppermann, A., & Pekarek Doehler, S. (2021). Longitudinal conversation analysis introduction to the special issue. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 54(2), 127-141.
- Eilittä, T., Haddington, P., Kamunen, A., Kohonen-Aho, L., Rautiainen, I. & Vatanen, A. (2023). On the complexities of interaction: An introduction. In P. Haddington, T. Eilittä, A. Kamunen, L. Kohonen-Aho,
- I. Rautiainen, & A. Vatanen (Cur.), Complexity of Interaction (pp. 1-25). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Fasulo, A., & Pontecorvo, C. (2022 [1999]). Come si dice? Linguaggio e apprendimento in famiglia e a scuola. Valore Italiano Editor.
- Fele, G. (2007). L'analisi della conversazione. Il Mulino.
- García, O., & Li, W. (2014). *Translanguaging. Language, Bilingualism and Education*. Palgrave MacMillan.
- Gardner R. (2019). Classroom interaction research: The state of the art. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 52(3), 212-226.
- Goodwin, C. (2004) A competent speaker who can't speak: The social life of aphasia. *Journal of Linguistic Anthropology*, 14(2), 151-170.
- Hoey, E. (2020). When conversation lapses. Oxford University Press.
- Hofstetter, E., & Robles, J. (2023). Metagaming and multiactivity: How board game players deal with progressivity. In P. Haddington, T. Eilittä, A. Kamunen, L. Kohonen-Aho, I. Rautiainen & A. Vatanen (Cur.), *Complexity of interaction* (pp. 65-97). Palgrave MacMillan.
- Huang, W., Hew, K. F., & Fryer, L. K. (2022). Chatbots for language learning—Are they really useful? A systematic review of chatbot-supported language learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(1), 237-257.
- Jenkins J. (Cur.) (2020). The Routledge Handbook of English as a Lingua Franca. Routledge.
- Levinson S. (2019). Natural forms of purposeful interaction among humans. What makes interaction effective? In K. A. Gluck & J. E. Laird (Cur.), *Interactive task learning: Humans, robots, and agents acquiring new tasks through natural interactions* (pp. 111-126). The MIT Press.
- Llewellyn-Jones, P. & Lee, R. G. (2014). *Redefining the Role of the Community Interpreter: The Concept of Role-space*. SLI Press.
- Mercer, N., & Dawes, L. (2014). The study of talk between teachers and students, from the 1970s until the 2010s. *Oxford Review of Education*, 40(4), 430-445.
- Mindner, L., Schlippe, T., & Schaaff, K. (2023). Classification of human- and AI-generated texts: Investigating features for ChatGPT. In T. Schlippe, E.C.K. Cheng & T. Wang (Cur.), *Artificial Intelligence in education technologies: New development and innovative practices*, 152-170. Springer.
- Mondada, L. (2014). The local constitution of multimodal resources for social interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 65, 137-156.
- Mondada, L. (2016). Challenges of multimodality: Language and the body in social interaction. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 20(3), 336-366.
- Mondada, L. (2019). Contemporary issues in conversation analysis: Embodiment and materiality, multimodality and multisensoriality in social interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 145, 47-62.
- Mushin, I., & Pekarek Doehler, S. (Cur.) (2021). Interactional Linguistics. John Benjamins.

Mushin I., & Gardner, R. (2009). Silence is talk: Conversational silence in Australian Aboriginal talk-in-interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 41, 2033-2052.

Nystrand, M. (1997). Opening dialogue: Understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom. Teachers College Press.

Poyatos, F. (Ed.) (1997). Nonverbal communication and translation: New perspectives and challenges in literature, interpretation, and the media. John Benjamins.

Rudnicka, K. (2023). Can Grammarly and ChatGPT accelerate language change? AI-powered technologies and their impact on the English language: wordiness vs. conciseness. *Procesamiento de Lenguaje Natural*, 71, 205-214.

Sacks H., Schegloff, E., & Jefferson, G. (1974). A simplest systematics for the organization of turn-taking for conversation. *Language*, 50, 696-735.

Selting, M., & Barth-Weingarten, D. (eds) (2024). *New Perspectives in Interactional Linguistic Research*. John Benjamins.

Walsh S. (2011). *Exploring classroom discourse: Language in action*. Routledge. Wadensjö, C. (1998). *Interpreting as interaction*. Longman.

Zorzi, D. (2007). La classe come ambiente di apprendimento attraverso l'interazione orale. In Ongini, V. (Cur.), Se la scuola incontra il mondo esperienze. Modelli e materiali per l'educazione interculturale (pp. 65-70). IDEST.

Scientific Committee

- Amalia Amato (UNIBO)
- Laurie Anderson (Univ. of Siena)
- Serge Bibauw (Univ. of Louvain)
- Cristiana Cervini (UNIBO)
- Christian Degache (Univ. Grenoble Alpes)
- Maria Elena Favilla (Univ. of Modena and Reggio Emilia)
- Gloria Gagliardi (UNIBO)
- Maja Miličević Petrović (UNIBO)
- Natacha Niemants (UNIBO)
- Franca Orletti (Univ. Roma Tre)
- Rosa Pugliese (UNIBO)
- Véronique Traverso (Univ. Lumière Lyon 2)

Local organizing committee (UNIBO)

- Amalia Amato
- Claudia Borghetti
- Cristiana Cervini
- Gloria Gagliardi
- Anna Marchi
- Yahis Martari
- Maja Miličević Petrović
- Natacha Niemants
- Rosa Pugliese
- Greta Zanoni

Proposal submission

Proposals should be submitted by **7 July 2025** to the e-mail address **congresso@aitla.it**, with 'Abstract 2026' in the subject line of the e-mail. This address should be used exclusively for proposals submission; for any other inquiries, please contact us at aitla2026@unibo.it.

Proposals should be between 4,000 and 5,000 characters in length, including spaces (but excluding bibliographical references), and they should indicate the preferred mode of presentation, choosing between:

- Oral presentation (20-minute presentation followed by a 10-minute discussion);
- Poster presentation (5-minute plenary session presentation followed by discussion during the poster session).

The proposals, written following the instructions outlined in the call for papers, should be submitted in two versions: one including the name(s), surname(s) and affiliation(s) of the author(s), and the other fully anonymized. Both documents should be saved in .doc(x) format and named as follows (using underscores (_) instead of spaces):

```
proposal type_short title_surname(s):
e.g. oral_presentation_English_language_Franca_Bianchi.docx
proposal type_short title_ anonymous:
e.g. poster_English_language_anonymous.docx
```

Proposals will be forwarded to the Scientific Committee and evaluated based on the following criteria: (1) relevance to the Congress theme(s); (2) quality of the research; (3) novelty of findings; (4) suitability for the proposed presentation format.

Each author can submit only one proposal; in the case of multi-author proposals, each author may submit one proposal as the sole author and one proposal as co-author. Members of the Scientific Committee cannot submit proposals.

The Scientific Committee may give priority to poster proposals over oral presentation proposals, and/or ask the authors to change the presentation format of the proposal to have an equal representation of topics or for reasons of space in the programme.

The outcome of the review process will be communicated by **30 September 2025**. Please note that only AItLA members who have paid their membership fee for 2026 will be included in the conference programme.